REMARKS

Claims 1 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Smith*, U.S.

Pat. No. 7,117,504. Claims 1 and 13 have been amended.

Claim 1 now recites various steps prior to determining a first service interface subclass

corresponding to the client request. These include creating a parent process that accesses a

configuration file which contains service definitions, a service load indicator, and server socket

data. A child process is also created along with multiple worker threads within a child process.

The claim now recites that the worker thread operates in an infinite loop and enters a cross-

process section. The worker thread calls an accept function of a request socket to obtain a client

connection. Support for these limitations may be found, for example, at page 10 of the

specification. The Smith reference does not disclose or show these claimed limitations.

Applicant believes that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully requests a

Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner believe that a

telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the undersigned can be

reached at the telephone number set out below.

Respectfully submitted, BEYER WEAVER LLP

/Rupak Nag/

Rupak Nag

Reg. No. 37,493

P.O. Box 70250

Oakland, CA 94612-0250

Telephone: (612) 252-3335

7